



South Carolina Criminal Justice Academy

SOUTH CAROLINA
LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING COUNCIL
MEETING MINUTES
Monday, May 19, 2025
10:00 a.m.

A meeting of the South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Council (LETC) was held at the South Carolina Criminal Justice Academy on Monday, May 19, 2025. This meeting was advertised in accordance with the South Carolina Freedom of Information Act.

CALL TO ORDER

Agenda Item 1

Chief Mark Keel, Chair of the Law Enforcement Training Council, called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m. Chief Keel called for a roll call of the Council members. The roll was called by Opel Bunn.

Members present for this meeting in-person were; Chief Mark Keel, (Chairman), State Law Enforcement Division (SLED); Sheriff Lee Foster (Co-Chair), Newberry County Sheriff's Office; Acting Director Joel Anderson, S.C. Department of Corrections; Director Jake Gadsden, S.C. Department of Probation, Parole, and Pardon Services; Director Tom Mullikin, S.C. Department of Natural Resources; Director Robert Woods, S.C. Department of Public Safety; Sheriff Barry Faile, Lancaster County Sheriff's Office; Chief Kevin Cornett, Isle of Palms Police Department; and Chief William Holbrook, Columbia Police Department.

Member present via video/conference call was Major Nick Gallam, Aiken County Detention Center.

Attorney General Alan Wilson, S.C. Attorney General's Office was absent from this meeting as he was attending a meeting in Washington, DC, but was represented by proxy, Deputy Attorney General Jeff Young.

Guest attendees present in-person or via video/conference call were; Marcus Gore, Esquire, S.C. Department of Public Safety; Sally Foster, S.C. Sheriffs Association; Chief Kenneth D. Phelps, S.C. Department of Public Safety; James L. Evans, Jr., Richland County Sheriff's Department; Tonya Brown, Media Reporter, WPDE; Lindsea Armour; Michael Kendree, Esquire, York County Department of Public Safety Communications; Director Mike Fernandez, York County 911; Director Wayne Owens, Georgetown County Detention Center; Joshua Brown; Michael Laubshire, Esquire; Chief Heath Copeland, Laurens Police Department; Akil Crosby; Adam Whitsett, Esquire, State Law Enforcement Division (SLED); Mark Harden; Beth Harden; Jesse Glenn, Esquire, Greenville County Sheriff's Department; Samuel Arthur, Esquire, Myrtle Beach Police Department; Heather Mulloy, Esquire, Charleston City Police Department; Zachary Morris;



South Carolina Criminal Justice Academy

Rame Campbell, Esquire, Anderson Police Department; Chief Jim Stewart, Anderson Police Department; Chief Michael Caughman, S.C. Department of Juvenile Justice Police Department; Christine Wallace, Chief of Staff, S.C. Department of Juvenile Justice; Annie Rumler, Esquire, S.C. Department of Juvenile Justice; Pheobia Cooper, Policy Administrator, S.C. Department of Juvenile Justice; Chenelle Doctor, Director of Quality and Compliance, S.C. Department of Juvenile Justice; Imani Byas, Esquire, S.C. Department of Juvenile Justice; Chief William Petty, Chester Police Department; Charles Boykin, Esquire, Chester Police Department; Chief Deputy Michael Atwood, Jasper County Sheriff's Office; Sheriff Christopher Malphrus; Jasper County Sheriff's Office; Chief Christopher Bryant, Latta Police Department; Mayor Teresa Mason, Town of Latta; Danny Rogers, Town Administrator, Town of Latta; Max Deckard; and JJ Jones, S.C. Law Enforcement Officers Association/S.C. Police Chiefs Association.

SC Criminal Justice Academy attendees were Director Jackie Swindler; Opel Bunn, Executive Assistant; James Fennell, General Counsel; Major Lauren Fennell, Accreditation and Standards Manager; Justin Miller, IT Manager; Julian Scott, IT; Madison Reynolds, Legal Unit; Scott Deckard, Investigator; Major Florence McCants, Administrative Operations; Bob Bianco, Evaluator; Missy Collins, Certification Manager; Rebecca Williams, Attorney/Instructor; and Max Brabham, Legal Unit.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Agenda Item 2

A motion to adopt the agenda was made by Chief Cornett. Director Woods seconded the motion. Council voted unanimously to adopt the agenda as presented.

APPROVAL FOR MEETING MINUTES

Agenda Item 3

A motion to approve the minutes of the April 23, 2025, meeting was made by Director Mullikin and seconded by Chief Cornett. Council voted unanimously to accept the meeting minutes as recorded.

DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Agenda Item 4

A. General Counsel Report

- **Misconduct Cases**



South Carolina Criminal Justice Academy

Lindsea Armour (2024-CJA-12-04) (York County Department of Public Safety Communications) (Prosecutorial Discretion)

Summary: Allegation of the unlawful use of a controlled substance.

On March 10, 2025, the York County Department of Public Safety Communications (Department) provided a notice to the South Carolina Criminal Justice Academy stating the Department wished to exercise prosecutorial discretion pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. § 23-23-150 (B) (2) and declining to prosecute the misconduct allegation. **Request for Final Agency Decision.**

The Hearing Officer Recommended that Council:

- A. Order that the contested case file in this matter be closed; and
- B. Order, pursuant to the requirements of S.C. Code Ann. § 23-23-150 (M), that the “Personnel Change in Status Report: Notification of Separation Due to Misconduct” filed by the Department in this matter be expunged, and that the certification file of Lindsea Armour be updated in the records of the Criminal Justice Academy to reflect no outstanding allegations of misconduct based on the allegations reported by the Department in this matter.

Lindsea Armour was present at this meeting, to speak on her behalf and to answer questions from the Council if applicable.

Director Mike Fernandez was present during this meeting to speak on behalf of the York County Department of Public Safety Communication and to answer questions from the Council if applicable.

Michael Kendree, Esquire, was present and spoke on behalf of the York County Department of Public Safety Communication and to answer questions from the Council if applicable.

After hearing statements from Mr. Kendree, Director Woods made a motion that the Council have no other alternative but to accept the recommendation of the Hearing Officer due to the York County Department of Public Safety Communication’s decision to exercise prosecutorial discretion and to expunge the records within thirty (30) days. Chief Cornett seconded the motion.

Chief Keel expressed his concerns when agencies decides not to prosecute, and the Council is left with no other alternative but to accept the recommendation of the Hearing Officer. He stated that it is just a matter of fairness and equity.



South Carolina Criminal Justice Academy

Council voted unanimously to accept the recommendation of the Hearing Officer and to expunge the records within thirty (30) days.

Khalif Jackson (2024-CJA-06-06) (Georgetown County Detention Center)

Summary: Khalif Jackson requested a hearing on June 16, 2024. Mr. Jackson was noticed of the contested case hearing, on October 21, 2024. On the date of the hearing, on December 5, 2024, Mr. Jackson failed to appear, and the undersigned waited until 10:31 a.m. before determining the case be closed. **Request for Final Agency Decision.**

The Hearing Officer Recommended that Council:

1. Pursuant to South Carolina Code of Regulations Section 37-106, Khalif Jackson has waived his right to present evidence at the contested case hearing.
2. Pursuant to South Carolina Code of Regulations Section 37-106, Council shall not be required to conform to the Rules of Evidence.
3. Pursuant to South Carolina Code of Regulations Section 37-106, Council may immediately proceed with determining whether Khalif Jackson committed misconduct.
4. Pursuant to South Carolina Code of Regulations Section 37-106, if misconduct has been found to have been committed, that Council may impose the appropriate sanction.

Khalif Jackson was not present at this meeting.

Director Wayne Owens was present and spoke on behalf of the Georgetown County Detention Center and to answer questions from the Council if applicable.

After hearing statements from Director Owens, Chief Cornett made a motion to find misconduct and to permanently deny Khalif Jackson's eligibility for law enforcement certification. Director Anderson seconded the motion.

Council voted unanimously to accept the recommendation of the Hearing Officer and to permanently deny Khalif Jackson's eligibility for law enforcement certification.



South Carolina Criminal Justice Academy

Joshua Brown (2023-CJA-11-10) (Laurens Police Department)

Summary: Allegation of engaging in the dangerous or unsafe practices involving firearms, weapons, or vehicles which indicate either a willful or wanton disregard for the safety of persons or property.

Allegation of engaging in the physical or psychological abuse of members of the public or prisoners. **Request for Final Agency Decision.**

The Hearing Officer Recommended that Council:

- A. Issue its final agency decision, pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. Regs. 37-107 D. finding that the allegations of misconduct filed against Joshua Brown by the Laurens Police Department have not been proven by the preponderance of evidence; and
- B. Expunge within thirty days of the final agency decision in this matter, pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. § 23-23-150 (M), all evidence related to the allegations of misconduct filed against Joshua Brown by the Laurens Police Department.

Joshua Brown was present at this meeting, to speak on his behalf and to answer questions from the Council if applicable.

Chief Heath Copeland, Jr. was present and spoke on behalf of the Laurens Police Department and to answer questions from the Council if applicable.

Michael Laubshire, Esquire was present and spoke on behalf of Joshua Brown and to answer questions from the Council if applicable.

After hearing statements from all parties, Chief Cornett made a motion to accept the recommendation of the Hearing Officer, misconduct was not found and to expunge the records within thirty (30) days. Director Woods seconded the motion.

Council voted unanimously to accept the recommendation of the Hearing Officer and to expunge the records within thirty (30) days.

Akil Crosby (2024-CJA-09-10) (South Carolina Law Enforcement Division)

Summary: Allegation of willfully making false, misleading, incomplete, deceitful, or incorrect statements to any court of competent jurisdiction, or their staff members, whether under oath or not.



South Carolina Criminal Justice Academy

Allegation of willfully providing false, misleading, incomplete, deceitful, or incorrect information on a document, record, report, or form, except when required by departmental policy or by the laws of this State. **Request for Final Agency Decision.**

The Hearing Officer Recommended that Council:

- A. Issue its final agency decision, pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. Regs. 37-107 D. finding that the allegation of misconduct filed against Akil Crosby by the South Carolina Law Enforcement Division has been proven by the preponderance of evidence; and
- B. Impose a sanction as the Law Enforcement Training Council in its discretion deems appropriate pursuant to its authority set forth at S.C. Code Ann. Regs. 37-108 A(1-5).

Akil Crosby was present and spoke on his behalf and to answer questions from the Council if applicable.

Adam Whitsett, Esquire was present and spoke on behalf of the South Carolina Law Enforcement Division and to answer question from the Council if applicable.

After hearing statements from both parties, Sheriff Faile made a motion to find misconduct and to permanently deny Akil Crosby's eligibility for law enforcement certification. Sheriff Foster seconded the motion.

Council voted unanimously to accept the recommendation of the Hearing Officer and to permanently deny Akil Crosby's eligibility for law enforcement certification. ***Please note that Chief Keel recused himself from the voting process in this case.***

Mark Harden (2024-CJA-05-05) (Greenville County Sheriff's Department)

Summary: Allegation of willfully making false, misleading, incomplete, deceitful, or incorrect statements to a law enforcement officer, a law enforcement agency, or a representative of the agency, except when required by departmental policy or by the laws of this State. **Request for Final Agency Decision.**

The Hearing Officer Recommended that Council:

- A. Issue its final agency decision, pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. Regs. 37-107 D. finding that the allegation of misconduct filed against Mark Harden by the Greenville County Sheriff's Department has been proven by the preponderance of evidence; and



South Carolina Criminal Justice Academy

- B. Impose a sanction as the Law Enforcement Training Council in its discretion deems appropriate pursuant to its authority set forth at S.C. Code Ann. Regs. 37-108 A (1-5)

Michael Laubshire, Esquire was present and spoke on behalf of Mark Harden and to answer questions from the Council if applicable.

Mark Harden was present at this meeting and spoke on his behalf and to answer questions from the Council if applicable.

Jesse Glenn, Esquire was present and spoke on behalf of the Greenville County Sheriff's Department and to answer questions from the Council if applicable.

After hearing statements from all parties, Sheriff Foster made a motion to accept the recommendation of the Hearing Officer and to permanently deny Mark Harden's eligibility for law enforcement certification. Director Mullikin seconded the motion.

Council voted unanimously to accept the recommendation of the Hearing Officer and to permanently deny Mark Harden's eligibility for law enforcement certification.

Christian Mitchell (2024-CJA-05-14) (Myrtle Beach Police Department)

Summary: Allegation of willfully making false, misleading, incomplete, deceitful, or incorrect statements to a law enforcement officer, a law enforcement agency, or a representative of the agency, except when required by departmental policy or by the laws of this State. **Request for Final Agency Decision.**

The Hearing Officer Recommended that Council:

- A. Issue its final agency decision, pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. Regs. 37-107 D. finding that the allegation of misconduct filed against the Christian Mitchell by the Myrtle Beach Police Department has been proven by the preponderance of evidence; and
- B. Impose a sanction as the Law Enforcement Training Council in its discretion deems appropriate pursuant to its authority set forth at S.C. Code Ann. Regs. 37-108 A (1-5)

Christian Mitchell was not present at this meeting.

Samuel Arthur, Esquire was present and spoke on behalf of the Myrtle Beach Police Department and to answer questions from the Council if applicable.



South Carolina Criminal Justice Academy

After hearing statements from Mr. Arthur, Chief Cornett made a motion to accept the recommendation of the Hearing Officer and to permanently deny Christian Mitchell's eligibility for law enforcement certification. Director Mullikin seconded the motion.

Council voted unanimously to accept the recommendation of the Hearing Officer and to permanently deny Christian Mitchell's eligibility for law enforcement certification. ***Please note that Chief Holbrook briefly left the meeting and did not vote in this case.***

Isaiah Drayton (2024-CJA-11-18) (Charleston City Police Department)

Summary: Allegation of the unlawful use of a controlled substance. **Request for Final Agency Decision.**

The Hearing Officer Recommended that Council:

- A. Issue its final agency decision, pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. Regs. 37-107 D., finding that the allegation of misconduct reported against Isaiah Drayton by the Charleston Police Department has been proven by preponderance of the evidence; and
- B. Impose a sanction as the Law Enforcement Training Council in its discretion deems appropriate pursuant to its authority set forth at S.C. Code Ann. Regs 37-108 A(1-5)

Isaiah Drayton was not present at this meeting.

Heather Mulloy, Esquire was present and spoke on behalf of the Charleston City Police Department and to answer questions from the Council if applicable.

After hearing statements from Ms. Mulloy, Sheriff Faile made a motion to accept the recommendation of the Hearing Officer, finding misconduct and to permanently deny Isaiah Drayton's eligibility for law enforcement certification. Director Mullikin seconded the motion.

Council voted unanimously to accept the recommendation of the Hearing Officer, finding misconduct and to permanently deny Isaiah Drayton's eligibility for law enforcement certification. ***Please note that Director Woods recused himself from the voting process in this case.***

Please note that Chief Holbrook was briefly absent from the meeting, but returned after statements were made by Ms. Mulloy. He recused himself from the voting process in this case.



South Carolina Criminal Justice Academy

Zachary Morris (2024-CJA-09-01) (Anderson Police Department)

Summary: Allegation of the unlawful use of a controlled substance. **Request for Final Agency Decision.**

The Hearing Officer Recommended that Council:

- A. Issue its final agency decision, pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. Regs. 37-107 D., finding that the allegation of misconduct reported against Zachary Morris by the Anderson Police Department have been proven by preponderance of the evidence; and
- B. Impose the sanction of withdrawal of certification for the definite period of one (1) year from the date Zachary Morris was reported for misconduct.

Zachary Morris was present at this meeting and spoke on his behalf and to answer questions from the Council if applicable.

Rame Campbell, Esquire was present and spoke on behalf of the Anderson Police Department and to answer questions from the Council if applicable.

Chief Jim Stewart was present and spoke on behalf of the Anderson Police Department and to answer questions from the Council if applicable.

After hearing statements from all parties, Council had a brief discussion about the case and the action plan submitted by the Anderson Police Department.

Director Woods made a motion not to accept the recommendation of the Hearing Officer, finding no misconduct and to grant Zachary Morris's continued eligibility for law enforcement certification. Chief Holbrook seconded the motion.

Seven (7) Council members voted not to accept the recommendation of the Hearing Officer, finding no misconduct and to grant Zachary Morris's continued eligibility for law enforcement certification. Three (3) Council members voted in opposition, thus the motion passed.

David P. Robertson (2025-CJA-04-10) (South Carolina Department of Public Safety)

Summary: The South Carolina Department of Public Safety submitted an allegation of misconduct on April 28, 2025. The separation indicates that the officer was separated from the department on March 14, 2025. The agency indicated that the submission of misconduct is an



South Carolina Criminal Justice Academy

amended separation, in which the original Routine PCS was submitted on March 28, 2025. Specifics surrounding the misconduct allegation and the agency's late submission are detailed in the PCS indicating misconduct. **Acceptance Request.**

Sheriff Foster made a motion to accept late allegation of misconduct. Chief Cornett seconded the motion.

Council voted unanimously to accept late allegation of misconduct. ***Please note that Director Woods recused himself from the voting process in this case.***

Non-Compliance with 23-23-85 (South Carolina Juvenile Justice Police Department)

Summary: The South Carolina Department of Juvenile Justice Police Department was notified of their non-compliance with SC 23-23-85 on August 29, 2024, and given ample opportunity to submit policies to come into compliance. The agency was notified of their continued non-compliance on March 21, 2025. As of the deadline given, April 21, 2025, the South Carolina Department of Juvenile Justice Police Department had not submitted acceptable policies for the following areas: Use of Force, Hiring and Termination, Internal Affairs. SCCJA is seeking civil penalties for the agency's non-compliance with SC 23-23-85.

James Fennell, Esquire spoke on behalf of the S.C. Criminal Justice Academy (SCCJA) and to answer questions from Council if applicable. He stated that South Carolina Juvenile Justice Police Department (SCDJJ Police Department) did not come into compliance until Friday afternoon. He advised the Council that the max penalty would be approximately \$180,000.00, but the Academy is asking for \$55,000.00 penalty for the SCDJJ Police Department being out of compliance for 55 days.

Annie Rumler, Esquire was present and spoke on behalf of the South Carolina Juvenile Justice Police Department and to answer questions from the Council if applicable. She stated that Director Hendrick sends her apologies for not being able to attend due to attending a planned and paid-for conference in Texas. She thanked Robert (Bob) Bianco for his assistance with getting the policies into compliance, which she stated that he was an asset to them and really helpful. She stated that the agency take full responsibly and appointed Phobe Wiggins as the Project Manager who will be managing the policy management area and handle any future audits.

Chief Michael Caughman was present and spoke on behalf of the South Carolina Juvenile Justice Police Department and to answer questions from the Council if applicable.

After hearing statements from all parties, Sheriff Foster made a motion to accept the recommendation by James Fennell, Esquire and impose a civil penalty in the amount of \$55,000.00 for 55 days of non-compliance on the South Carolina Juvenile Justice Police Department. Director Mullikin seconded the motion.



South Carolina Criminal Justice Academy

Council voted unanimously to impose a civil penalty in the amount of \$55,000.00 for 55 days of non-compliance on the on the South Carolina Juvenile Justice Police Department.

Non-Compliance with 23-23-85 (Chester Police Department)

Summary: Chester Police Department was notified of their non-compliance with SC Chapter 23. Chester Police Department hired an uncertified officer, did not submit the required documentation, and worked the individual in violation of 23-23-40(A). It was also discovered that the required training documentation was inconsistent or not recorded in the agency's training files for the Mandatory Retraining Notifications. The agency conducted incomplete background investigations in violation of 23-23-60. SCCJA is seeking civil penalties for the agency's non-compliance with SC Chapter 23.

At the time of the noncompliance notification, the agency did not have any certified field training manager or officer, to conduct FTO training for new officers, for the agency to be in compliance with 23-23-85(A)(5). The agency is required to submit a remedy for these violations by May 10th, 2025. If SCCJA has not received the agency's remedy for the violations by May 10th, 2025, SCCJA will seek a civil penalty for the agency's violations.

James Fennell, Esquire spoke on behalf of the S.C. Criminal Justice Academy (SCCJA) and to answer questions from Council if applicable. He stated that the individual that was hired was decertified in the state of Georgia. He advised the Council to impose a flat \$2,500.00 penalty due to not being able to determine how long the individual was working unlawfully at the Chester Police Department. He stated that the individual hired had no authority at all under South Carolina Law as a K-9 Officer.

Charles Boykin, Esquire was present and spoke on behalf of the Chester Police Department and to answer questions from the Council if applicable.

Chief William Petty was present and spoke on behalf of the Chester Police Department and to answer questions from the Council if applicable.

After hearing statements from all parties, Sheriff Foster made a motion to impose a civil penalty in the amount of \$22,500.00 for 75 days of non-compliance on the Chester Police Department. Director Mullikin seconded the motion.

Council voted unanimously to impose a civil penalty in the amount of \$22,500.00 for 75 days of non-compliance on the on the Chester Police Department.



South Carolina Criminal Justice Academy

Non-Compliance with 23-23-85 (Jasper County Sheriff's Office)

Summary: Jasper County Sheriff's Office agency roster was requested by SCCJA on February 24, 2025, and March 11, 2025. As of April 1st, 2025, the agency had not submitted their roster to SCCJA. Jasper County Sheriff's Office was notified of their non-compliance with the roster request on April 1st, 2025. The agency was required to submit their roster to SCCJA by May 1st, 2025. The agency submitted their roster to SCCJA on April 1st, 2025, after receiving the non-compliance notice. SCCJA requires the attendance of the agency to explain their agency's failure to comply.

James Fennell, Esquire spoke on behalf of the S.C. Criminal Justice Academy (SCCJA) and to answer questions from Council if applicable. He stated that this request is not for a civil penalty, but for an explanation of why the non-compliance had to go out in the first place.

Sheriff Christopher Malphrus was present and spoke on behalf of the Jasper County Sheriff's Office and to answer questions from the Council if applicable. He stated that they hired a new training officer who received the requests and it was only until the Academy sent the request directly to him and within two hours the roster was sent to the Academy. He stated that the new officer that was hired under training has been removed and placed into another position. He reported that the reason for non-compliance was due to that new training officer receiving the emails and did not disseminate the information to the command staff.

Chief Deputy Michael Atwood was present at this meeting on behalf of the Jasper County Sheriff's Office and to answer questions from the Council if applicable.

After hearing statements from all parties, the Council accepted the explanation and imposed no penalty.

Non-Compliance with 23-23-85 (Latta Police Department)

Summary: Latta Police Department was notified of their agency's non-compliance with SC 23-23-40 on April 11th, 2025. Latta Police department employed individuals who did not meet the requirements of SC 23-23-40 and have not been certified by the Academy, who were allowed to perform the duties of a law enforcement officer. Additionally, the individuals were allowed to work independently and not in the presence of a certified officer. SCCJA is seeking civil penalties for the agency's non-compliance and is asking that all law enforcement certifications be placed on an administrative hold until the agency has come into compliance.

James Fennell, Esquire spoke on behalf of the S.C. Criminal Justice Academy (SCCJA) and to answer questions from Council if applicable.



South Carolina Criminal Justice Academy

Chief Christopher Bryant was present and spoke on behalf of the Latta Police Department and to answer questions from the Council if applicable.

Mayor Mason was present and spoke on behalf of the Town of Latta and to answer questions from the Council if applicable.

After hearing statements of all parties, Sheriff Faile made a motion to accept the recommendation by James Fennell, Esquire and impose a civil penalty in the amount of \$39,990.00 for 129 days of non-compliance on the Latta Police Department. Director Woods seconded the motion.

Council voted unanimously to impose a civil penalty in the amount of \$39,990.00 for 129 days of non-compliance on the on the Latta Police Department. ***Please note that Major Gallam left the meeting and did not vote in this case.***

D. Director's Comments

Director Swindler

Director Swindler reported that there are more agencies appearing before the Council for non-compliance in the next two months. He stated that we have already had numerous agencies that have been notified of not being into compliance.

He expressed that the Academy has been much more generous for a long time and provided agencies a lot of latitude. He advised that agencies were given up to 90 days to come into compliance, then finally it had to stop. Now agencies have time constraints and when they don't come into compliance, then they receive a non-compliance letter. He explains the reasons why agencies have to appear before the Council to explain why they did not come into compliance. He believes the purpose of agencies appearing before the Council is to hold them accountable.

OLD BUSINESS

Agenda Item 5

There was no unfinished business to discuss.

NEW BUSINESS

Agenda Item 6

Traffic Safety Survey



South Carolina Criminal Justice Academy

Director Swindler reported that as part of the Highway Safety Traffic grant a survey was sent to our member agencies that we serve. He stated that we had 108 agencies respond. He found that it was interesting that 70% of the agencies did not have a designated Traffic Unit.

Director Swindler asked that the Council take an action, to reflect that the information was presented and that the Council was informed and aware of the results from the survey. Lastly, he asked the Council to make a motion to accept the survey results.

Director Mullikin made a motion to accept the survey results. Sheriff Foster seconded the motion. Council voted unanimously to accept the survey results as recorded.

CLOSING REMARKS/AJOURNMENT

Agenda Item 7

Chief Keel inquired whether there were any more questions or comments from Council.

Chief Keel thanked the Council members for their attendance and the time it takes to prepare for these meetings.

When there were no more remarks or comments, Sheriff Foster made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Director Mullikin seconded the motion.

The meeting was adjourned at 12:08 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by:

Opel C. Bunn

Opel C. Bunn